UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE                        | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/803,698  | 03/18/2004                         | Michael E. Miller    | 65937-0047          | 6051             |
|   | 7590 07/09/200<br>MAN & GRAUER PLI | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| 39533 WOODWARD AVENUE<br>SUITE 140<br>BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48304-0610 |                                    |                      | FOREMAN, JONATHAN M |                  |
|   |                                    |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   | •                                  |                      | 3736                |                  |
|   |                                    |                      |                     |                  |
|   |                                    |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|   |                                    |                      | 07/09/2008          | PAPER            |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No.     | Applicant(s)  |  |
|---------------------|---------------|--|
| 10/803,698          | MILLER ET AL. |  |
| Examiner            | Art Unit      |  |
| JONATHAN ML FOREMAN | 3736          |  |

|  | IATHAN ML FOREMAN   | 3736   |   |
|--|---|--|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of  | on the cover sheet with the c   | correspondence add   | ress  |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>26 June 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICA  | TION IN CONDITION FOR A   | LLOWANCE.  |   |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the sapplication, applicant must timely file one of the following replication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (was for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1 periods:  | ame day as filing a Notice of Ass: (1) an amendment, affidaviith appeal fee) in compliance                  | Appeal. To avoid abar<br>t, or other evidence, w<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or              | hich places the (3) a Request                               |
| <ul> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisor no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the</li> </ul>  | ry Action, or (2) the date set forth<br>an SIX MONTHS from the mailing                                      | g date of the final rejection  | on.   |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). Of MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on wh have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensio under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorte set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).   | ich the petition under 37 CFR 1.1<br>n and the corresponding amount<br>ned statutory period for reply origi | 36(a) and the appropriat<br>of the fee. The appropriat<br>nally set in the final Offic | e extension fee<br>ate extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL   |   |  |   |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within t<br/><u>AMENDMENTS</u></li> </ol>  | thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to   | avoid dismissal of the   |   |
| 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but pr   | ior to the date of filing a brief,  | will not be entered be   | cause   |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further conside   | ration and/or search (see NO  | TE below);   |   |
| <ul> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better fo appeal; and/or</li> </ul>   | rm for appeal by materially red   | ducing or simplifying tl   | ne issues for   |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corres  |   | ected claims.  |   |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. Solution 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  | ee attached Notice of Non-Co  | mpliant Amendment (l   | PTOL-324).  |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable non-allowable claim(s).   | — ble if submitted in a separate, t   | imely filed amendmer   | nt canceling the  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) we how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   |   | l be entered and an e  | xplanation of   |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:   |   |  |   |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |   |  |   |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |   |  |   |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and suff<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>  | ore or on the date of filing a No<br>icient reasons why the affidavi  | otice of Appeal will <u>not</u><br>t or other evidence is                              | be entered<br>necessary and                                 |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a No entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overce showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and  | ome <u>all</u> rejections under appea   | al and/or appellant fail:  | s to provide a  |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the  |   |  | •   |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  | A NOT I II II   |  |   |
| 11.  ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered leads because:  The request for reconsideration is directed to a non-entered and anon-entered |   | ation in condition for a   | allowance   |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO  |   |  |   |
| 13. Other:   | (SB/00) 1 aper No(s).   |  |   |
| /Max Hindenburg/   | /J. M. F./  |  |   |
| Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3736   | Examiner, Art Unit 3736   |  |   |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments to claims 9 and 26 raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search .